



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 1776/00039 **FUMITAKA SUGAYA** 09/01/1999 09/387,857

7590

12/16/2002

POLLOCK VANDE SANDE & PRIDDY P O BOX 19088 WASHINGTON, DC 200363425

EXAMINER NOVACEK, CHRISTY L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2822 DATE MAILED: 12/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7)

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/387,857	SUGAYA, FUMITAKA	SUGAYA, FUMITAKA	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christy L. Novacek	2822		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 25 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check	k either a) or b)]
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH 706.07(f).	THS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the compact of the shortened statutory per (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under iod for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief mu 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d))	st be filed within the period set forth in), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further conside	ration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better for issues for appeal; and/or 	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corre	esponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>no.</u>	<u>ne</u> .
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ble if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsid application in condition for allowance because:	eration has been considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) we explanation of how the new or amended claims would be re	rill not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an jected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTC)-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:	
	AMIR ZARABIAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	CUDEOMSORY PAICHT DAWN

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) JPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINETY TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Part of Paper No. 12



Application/Control Number: 09/387,857

^{, j} Art Unit: 2822

Advisory Action

This Office Action is in response to the proposed amendment filed November 25, 2002.

Response to Proposed Amendment

The proposed amendment will not be entered because it raises new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.

Response to Arguments

Applicants response fails to argue the rejection of claim 36 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Schoenfeld et al. that was made in the previous Office Action. Nor does the proposed amendment propose to amend this claim. (???)

Regarding the rejection of claims 38 and 39 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Komori et al. in view of Wolf et al. (Vol. 1), Applicants argue that "As noted in the Office Action, Komori et al does not disclose the patterning of the first conductive layer so that the conductive film is divided below a first opening and extends below a second opening formed in the conductive film." This is entirely incorrect. The Office Action mailed August 23, 2002 states, "the first conductive film is patterned such that element isolation regions are exposed" but, "Komori does not disclose the particular steps involved in patterning the first conductive layer." Komori discloses that the first conductive film (7A) is deposited onto the entire substrate and then the film (7A) is "patterned into a predetermined shape as shown in FIG. 3" (col. 8, ln. 7-15). Thus, as can be seen in Fig. 3 of Komori, the first conductive layer is patterned such that a first opening is formed on one side of the first conductive layer which

Application/Control Number: 09/387,857

Art Unit: 2822

exposes an element isolation structure and a hole extending through the first conductive layer is also formed on the other side of the first conductive layer such that a second element isolation structure is exposed. The Wolf reference was used in the rejection of claims 38 and 39 only for its teaching that photolithography is a conventional method of "patterning" material of a semiconductor device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christy L. Novacek whose telephone number is (703) 308-5840. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 7:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on (703) 308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

CLN December 11, 2002

